Topological Nature of Radiation Asymmetry in Bilayer Metagratings

Ze-Peng Zhuang, Hao-Long Zeng, Xiao-Dong Chen, Xin-Tao He, and Jian-Wen Dong School of Physics and State Key Laboratory of Optoelectronic Materials and Technologies, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510275, China

(Received 16 October 2023; accepted 13 February 2024; published 11 March 2024)

Manipulating radiation asymmetry of photonic structures is of particular interest in many photonic applications such as directional optical antenna, high efficiency on-chip lasers, and coherent light control. Here, we proposed a term of pseudopolarization to reveal the topological nature of radiation asymmetry in bilayer metagratings. Robust pseudopolarization vortex with an integer topological charge exists in *P*-symmetry metagrating, allowing for tunable directionality ranging from -1 to 1 in synthetic parameter space. When *P*-symmetry breaking, such vortex becomes pairs of *C* points due to the conservation law of charge, leading to the phase difference of radiation asymmetry from $\pi/2$ to $3\pi/2$. Furthermore, topologically enabled coherent perfect absorption is robust with customized phase difference at will between two counterpropagating external light sources. This Letter can not only enrich the understanding of two particular topological photonic behaviors, i.e., bound state in the continuum and unidirectional guided resonance, but also provide a topological view on radiation asymmetry, opening an unexplored avenue for asymmetric light manipulation in on-chip laser, light-light switch, and quantum emitters.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.132.113801

Introduction.-Radiation asymmetry (RA) in upward and downward directions is highly desirable for highefficiency energy utilization and asymmetric light manipulation in photonic devices. Large amount of works have been dedicated to enhancing the radiation directionality, such as Yagi-Uda antennas [1-3], Mie scatterers under the Kerker condition [4-6], on-chip lasers [7-9], and grating couplers [10–12] in photonic integrated circuits. In contrast, another aspect of RA, that is radiation phase difference, is always ignored. This hinders phase as an additional degree of freedom in coherent light control including coherent absorption [13–15], coherent polarization control [16,17], and light-light switching [18-20]. In general, arbitrary control of RA including directionality and phase difference is significant for light field manipulation but remains elusive.

On the other hand, topological perspective has been employed to manipulate the radiation field of photonic structures recently [21–23]. By modulating polarization vortexes in momentum space, bound states in the continuum (BICs), and unidirectional guided resonances (UGRs) with distinct radiation properties have been confirmed. Although lying in the continuum spectrum with potential radiation channels, BIC manifests itself as a radiation singularity without leaky energy. It is situated at the polarization vortex center (V point) carrying an integer topological charge [24–26]. The topological property of BICs not only facilitates the design of robust and high-Qresonators [27–30], but also introduces a new paradigm for polarization manipulation [31–33]. Intriguing applications based on BICs, such as lasing [34–37], chirality detection [38–40], and resonant wavefront shaping [41–43], have been demonstrated. Unlike BICs, UGRs radiate only in a single channel. It can be interpreted as the generation of circular polarized states (C points) from the broken Vpoints [44] or voids [45,46], followed by the merging of Cpoints in a single channel while leaving them apart in the other channel. However, BICs and UGRs are only two special cases of RA and the role of radiation phase difference have been overlooked in both of them. What is more, the evolution of polarization vortex in momentum space fails to describe the general cases of RA involving arbitrary directoinality and phase difference.

In this Letter, taking both directionality and phase difference into account, we propose a RA pseudopolarization. By mapping the RA pseudopolarization onto the Poincaré sphere, a unified physical picture is developed to describe arbitrary RA, including radiation behaviors of BICs and UGRs. We find that a pseudopolarization vortex resulting from BIC robustly exists in the synthetic parameter space of bilayer metagratings with an integer topological charge. The pseudopolarization vortex obeys the conservation law of topological charge and can be broken into a pair of C points, revealing the topological nature of RA. Through manipulation of the vortex and C points, we further validate the realization of flexible RA including directionality ranging from -1 to 1 and phase difference from $\pi/2$ to $3\pi/2$. Finally, we demonstrate that the ability of controlling radiation phase difference induced by C points enables the design of coherent perfect absorption under customized phase difference of input light.



FIG. 1. Schematic of pseudopolarization to represent the radiation asymmetry (RA). (a) Diagram of RA pseudopolarization $1/N * [(c_{up} + c_{down})\mathbf{e_1} + (c_{up} - c_{down})\mathbf{e_2}]$. In general, for mirror-symmetry-breaking structures, it is an ellipse due to amplitude and phase difference of c_{up} and c_{down} . (b) RA pseudopolarization is mapped onto the Poincaré sphere where ψ and χ are orientation and ellipticity angle shown in (a). (c) The behavior of radiation asymmetry is mapped onto the Poincaré sphere, where η and δ are directionality and phase difference between upward and downward radiation field. BIC (black dot) emerges as the center of Poincaré sphere, while UGRs (red dot) locate at the two poles of S₂ axis.

Results.—Generally, the up-down mirror-symmetrybreaking structures, for example, photonic crystal slabs, can support leaky modes that exhibit asymmetric radiation in upward and downward directions. As shown in Fig. 1(a), the complex amplitude of radiated plane wave is denoted as c_{up} and c_{down} for upward and downward direction, respectively. We introduce a pseudopolarization \vec{c} , which we name radiation asymmetry (RA) pseudopolarization, as a measure of radiation difference between these two directions. The RA pseudopolarization is defined as

$$\vec{c} = \frac{1}{N} \left[(c_{\rm up} + c_{\rm down}) \vec{e}_1 + (c_{\rm up} - c_{\rm down}) \vec{e}_2 \right], \quad (1)$$

where \vec{e}_1 and \vec{e}_2 are a pair of orthogonal basis. $N = \sqrt{|c_{\rm up} + c_{\rm down}|^2 + |c_{\rm up} + c_{\rm down}|^2}$ is the normalization coefficient. Note that the first term $(c_{\rm up} + c_{\rm down})$ represents the symmetric component of radiation fields and when both upward and downward radiation fields have identical amplitudes and phases, this component reaches the maximum. Similarly, $(c_{\rm up} - c_{\rm down})$ represents the antisymmetric component. Generally, the amplitude and phase of $c_{\rm up}$ are different from $c_{\rm down}$. As a consequence, the RA

pseudopolarization is generally a pseudopolarization ellipse with orientation angle ψ and ellipticity angle χ .

By mapping the RA pseudopolarization ellipse onto the Poincaré sphere, we can effectively characterize the RA in a clear physical picture. As shown in Fig. 1(b), each point on the Poincaré sphere corresponds to a specific pseudopolarization ellipse as well as relating to a kind of RA. More quantitatively, we define directionality as $\eta = [(|c_{up}|^2 - |c_{down}|^2)/(|c_{up}|^2 + |c_{down}|^2)]$ and phase difference as $\delta = \arg(c_{up}/c_{down})$, which respectively characterize the radiation amplitude and phase difference. After some derivation (Supplemental Material [47], Sec. S2.), these two parameters are actually related to Stokes parameters of Poincaré sphere as,

$$S_{1} = \sqrt{1 - \eta^{2}} \cos \delta$$

$$S_{2} = \eta$$

$$S_{3} = \sqrt{1 - \eta^{2}} \sin \delta.$$
 (2)

Equation (2) clearly shows that directionality η is the projection length of Stokes vector on the S_2 axis [double-headed arrow in Fig. 1(c)] and phase difference δ refers to the angle between the S_1 axis and (S_1, S_3) in-plane component of the Stokes vector [arc in Fig. 1(c)].

General RA with various directionality and phase difference can be described by Eq. (2), and are supposed to cover the whole Poincaré sphere. Specially, two poles of the S_2 axis represents 45° or 135° linear pseudopolarization and they correspond to unidirectional radiation in the downward or upward direction, which exactly describes the radiation behavior of unidirectional guided resonance [UGR, red dots in Fig. 1(c)]. Moreover, the center of Poincaré sphere implies a singular case, where the phase difference is undefined but the amplitudes of upward and downward radiation fields are equal. This singular case actually corresponds to bound state in the continuum (BIC) since both upward and downward radiation fields are zero.

The RA pseudopolarization then helps us to investigate the RA of photonic structures and unveil its topological nature in the parameter space. As a specific example, we consider a bilayer metagratings as shown in Fig. 2(a). The bilayer metagratings are composed of one-dimensional silicon (n = 3.4767) gratings covered in SiO₂ (n = 1.444) background with G = 0.045a, $w_q = w_e = 0.704a$ and $t_q = 0.355a$, where *a* is the lattice length. By introducing a nonzero lateral offset Δq , the up-down mirror symmetry is broken, and difference in amplitude and phase for upward and downward radiation occurs. The asymmetry parameter Δq determines the broken degree of mirror symmetry and plays a vital role in influencing RA. For directly studying the RA varying as Δq , we look into the RA pseudopolarization distribution in the synthetic parameter space $(k_x, \Delta g)$ with fixed $k_y = 0$. The case of $k_y \neq 0$



FIG. 2. RA pseudopolarization to characterize BIC and UGR in the synthetic parameter space. (a) Diagram of bilayer metagratings with *P* symmetry. (b) Calculated band structure of TE band. (c) RA pseudopolarization for TE₃ band. Red and blue ellipses inside correspond to left-handed and right-handed pseudopolarizations, respectively. (d) Loop in (c) mapped on the Poincaré sphere, indicating the topological charge of +1 for BIC. (e) Characteristic lines in the synthetic parameter space. Orientation angle of pseudopolarizations on the red (blue) line is 135° (45°). Gray lines trace out the positions of linear pseudopolarizations. (f) Field pattern for BIC and UGRs on the TE₃ band.

can be seen in Supplemental Material [47], Sec. S10. We emphasize that both the RA pseudopolarization and the synthetic parameter space are significantly different from real polarization in momentum space discussed in previous works.

The band structure in the synthetic parameter space is presented in Fig. 2(b) and we focus on the TE₃ band. Its corresponding RA pseudopolarization diagram is plotted in Fig. 2(c). It is noted that a pseudopolarization votex exists at $(k_x a/2\pi, \Delta g/a) = (0, 0.113)$. At the vortex center, the orientation angle becomes ill defined. However, the orientation angle must be zero for $k_x = 0$, since upward and downward radiation should be identical if they were nonzero due to P symmetry. Consequently, the vortex center can only be a singularity without radiation, which is nothing but a BIC. This can be further substantiated by its field pattern with zero emission [Fig. 2(f)] and diverged quality factor (Supplemental Material [47], Sec. S3). The vortex can be characterized by the topological charge $q = (1/2\pi) \phi_L d\vec{p} \cdot \nabla_{\vec{p}} \psi(\vec{p})$, where L is a closed loop circling around the vortex center counterclockwise and \vec{p} is a normalized vector in the synthetic parameter space. In this way, the vortex exhibits a topological charge of +1. Moreover, we emphasize that the topological charge is robust against structural parameters such as thickness t_g (Supplemental Material [47], Sec. S5).

When we map the loop winding around BIC [pink and light blue line in Fig. 2(c)] onto the Poincaré sphere, as shown in Fig. 2(d), it circles the S_3 axis twice, agreeing with a topological charge of +1. In contrast, the loop excluding BIC [yellow line in Fig. 2(c)] does not contain the S_3 axis, suggesting no vortex inner the loop. This implies that the BIC vortex enables directionality to be continuously tuned from negative to positive, as the loop containing a vortex must cross the plane at $S_2 = 0$.

Further tuning directionality from -1 to 1 can also be obtained through the RA pseudopolarization diagram. In fact, directionality of -1 and 1, which exactly corresponds to UGR, can be identified as linear pseudopolarization with orientation angles of 135° and 45° , respectively. Figure 2(e) plots three characteristic lines determined by RA pseudopolarization diagram in Fig. 2(c). Lines representing orientation angle of 135° (red) and 45° (blue) originating from the BIC vortex cross with the L line (gray) representing linear pseudopolarization at $(k_x a/2\pi, \Delta q/a) =$ (-0.107, 0.062) and $(k_x a/2\pi, \Delta g/a) = (0.107, 0.062),$ thus identifying two UGRs with directionality of -1 and 1. Field patterns in Fig. 2(f) further confirm that distinct unidirectional radiation occurs at these two positions. Actually, these two UGRs can also be viewed as the one-sided real polarization vortex originating from the BIC (Supplemental Material [47], Sec. S3). With the directionality of -1 and 1 identified, then a direct way to adjust directionality from -1 to 1 is to vary parameters along the trajectory linking these two points in the synthetic parameter space, such as changing k_x from $-0.107 * 2\pi/a$ to $0.107 * 2\pi/a$ while keeping $\Delta q = 0.062a$.

Interestingly, the pseudopolarization vortex in the synthetic parameter space not only helps to identify the BIC, but also enables us to manipulate the RA from a topological perspective. Owing to conservation law of the topological charge, the BIC vortex can be broken into a pair of Cpoints. Here, we split the BIC vortex by breaking the Psymmetry and setting $w_q \neq w_e$, such as shrinking w_q to be 0.674a. As expected, a pair of C points with reversed handedness and identical topological charge of +1/2 are generated in the synthetic parameter space, as shown in Fig. 3(a). The total charge in the synthetic parameter space remains to be +1. Furthermore, accompanied with generated C points, variant RA pseudopolarization with different orientation and ellipticity angles can be found. Notably, different from real polarization, these diverse pseudopolarizations actually enable flexible manipulation of RA, including directionality and phase difference, by changing kx and Δq . This can be seen clearly when mapping RA pseudopolarization onto the Poincaré sphere, as shown in Fig. 3(b), a large area of Poincaré sphere indicating diverse directionality and phase difference is occupied.



FIG. 3. Generating diverse directionality and phase difference when breaking the BIC vortex. (a) (Up) Diagram of bilayer metagratings without *P* symmetry $w_g \neq w_e$). (Down) RA pseudopolarization for TE₃ band suggest left-handed (red) and righthanded (blue) *C* points with half-integer topological charge are generated after breaking the BIC vortex. (b) RA pseudopolarizations mapped on the Poincaré sphere. (c) Field patterns for eigen modes along the trajectory in (b). Along the trajectory from *A* to *D*, directionality is kept to be 0 while phase difference varies from $\pi/2$ to $3\pi/2$. (d) Same as (c) but along the trajectory from *E* to *H*, phase difference sustains to be $2\pi/5$, while the directionality varies from -0.9 to 0.9.

Different from the P symmetry case, where RA pseudopolarization is limited to nearly linear, indicating that the phase difference is around 0 or π , as shown in Fig. 2(d), we will show that the broken BIC vortex by breaking Psymmetry leads to existing phase difference from $\pi/2$ to $3\pi/2$. Since the generated C points locate at the two poles of the S_3 axis, as shown in Fig. 3(b), it ensures an existing trajectory in the synthetic parameter space, which can be mapped onto the Poincaré sphere as a path linking two poles of the S_3 axis. As the left-handed (upper pole of S_3) axis) and right-handed (lower pole of S_3 axis) C point represents phase difference of $\delta = (\pi/2)$ and $\delta = (3\pi/2)$, respectively, the trajectory linking them means that a continuing modulation of phase difference from $\pi/2$ to $3\pi/2$ is guaranteed in the synthetic parameter space. For example, along the trajectory from A to D in Fig. 3(b), the phase difference δ is varied. As depicted in Fig. 3(c), the point A, B, C, D corresponds to $(k_x a/2\pi, \Delta g/a) =$ (3e - 4, 0.103), (2e - 4, 0.105), (4e - 4, 0.109), (3e - 4), (3e4,0.111) with phase difference of $\delta = \pi/2$, $3\pi/4$, $5\pi/4$, $3\pi/2$, respectively. Moreover, their directionality η remains



FIG. 4. Coherent perfect absorption at distinct phase difference. (a) Schematic of coherent absorption in bilayer metagratings without *P* symmetry. (b) Absorption varies with the phase difference of input beams δ_{input} . (c),(d) Simulated field distribution of bilayer metagratings under two opposite input light sources, whose excited planes are indicated by dashed lines.

to be zero as evidenced by their equal radiation amplitude in the upward and downward directions.

In addition, owing to a large occupying area of Poincaré sphere, a trajectory indicating equal phase difference δ but varying directionality η can be found as well. For example, along the trajectory from *E* to *H* in Fig. 3(b), the phase difference keeps to be $\delta = 2\pi/5$. As shown in Fig. 3(d), the points *E*, *F*, *G*, and *H* correspond to $(k_x a/2\pi, \Delta g/a) =$ (-6.2e - 3, 0.099), (-2.6e - 3, 0.102), (3.7e - 3, 0.101),(8.2e - 3, 0.098) with directionality of $\eta = -0.9, -0.6,$ 0.6, 0.9, respectively. All of these indicate that by breaking the topological pseudopolarization vortex in the synthetic parameter space, independent modulation of phase difference and directionality over a large range can be realized, showing a novel way to manipulate the RA of photonic structures.

Finally, we will show that flexible manipulation of RA can actually contribute to design the condition of coherent perfect absorption (CPA) at will by customizing the phase difference of input light. Considering the reciprocal process shown in Fig. 4(a), where light impinges on the bilayer metagratings in opposite directions with phase difference of δ_{input} . For simplicity, we discuss the case of normal incidence. Analyzed by temporal coupled mode theory (Supplemental Material [47], Sec. S7. See also references [48–50] therein), it reveals that the coherent absorption satisfies $A = 1 - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \eta^2)[1 - \cos(\delta + \delta_{input})]$. This implies that the absorption is determined by two parameters (η, δ) of RA. When

phase difference of input light $\delta_{input} = -\delta$, CPA happens. In contrast, when $\delta_{input} = -\delta \pm \pi$, A reaches the minimum $A_{\min} = \eta^2$. That is to say, by changing δ , we are able to design CPA happening at any δ_{input} .

Induced by C points resulting from the broken vortex, the phase difference δ can be continuously tuned from $\pi/2$ to $3\pi/2$, suggesting that CPA thus can be designed at δ_{input} from $-\pi/2$ to $-3\pi/2$. To demonstrate that, we design two types of bilayer metagratings (Supplemental Material [47], Sec. S6) starting from Fig. 3 to match absorption and radiation loss. Here, low intrinsic loss of silicon is considered at 1.078 μ m with n = 3.5491 + 5.55e - 5i [51]. As shown in Fig. 4(b), CPA happens at $\delta_{input} = -(\pi/2)$ when the phase difference of radiation field is $\delta = (\pi/2)$, while it shifts to $\delta_{input} = -(3\pi/2)$ for $\delta = (3\pi/2)$, consistent with the predicted results. When π shift is added, both cases reach the minimum absorption. In general, both absorptions show cosinoidal dependence on δ_{input} , suggesting tunable modulation of absorption by phase of input light. Figures 4(c)and 4(d) further demonstrate that all incident light is absorbed due to enhancement of electric field at CPA condition, while the scattering light is nonzero in both sides of the bilayer metagratings when the condition is violated.

Conclusions.—In conclusion, we propose a RA pseudopolarization to investigate arbitrary RA including directionality and phase difference in a unified physical picture. Via the Poincaré sphere representation of RA pseudopolarization, radiation behaviors of BIC and UGR are clarified as the center and the poles on the sphere, respectively. A robust vortex of RA pseudopolarization with an integer topological charge is found in the synthetic parameter space of bilayer metagratings. Breaking the vortex into C points with half charges then enables independent modulation of directionality and phase difference over a large range. Furthermore, induced by generated C points, we demonstrate that coherent prefect absorption can be designed at customized phase difference of input light. Our findings provide a new perspective to interpret the topological property of RA for photonic structures, which will also inspire ideas in asymmetric manipulation for other wave functional materials such as acoustic waves [52], elastic waves [53], plasmonics [54], and polaritons [55]. Furthermore, based on the asymmetric coherent perfect absorption, optically controlled and asymmetrically modulated elements are expected to be realized, showing potential applications in photoelectric detection, thermal radiation, and light-emitting diodes.

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No. 62035016, No. 12274475, No. 12374364, No. 12074443), Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (Grants No. 2023B1515040023, No. 2023B1515020072), Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, Sun Yat-sen University (23lgbj021, 23ptpy01). *Corresponding author: dongjwen@mail.sysu.edu.cn

- D. Dregely, R. Taubert, J. Dorfmüller, R. Vogelgesang, K. Kern, and H. Giessen, 3D optical Yagi–Uda nanoantenna array, Nat. Commun. 2, 267 (2011).
- [2] M. Ramezani, A. Casadei, G. Grzela, F. Matteini, G. Tütüncüoglu, D. Rüffer, A. Fontcuberta i Morral, and J. Gómez Rivas, Hybrid semiconductor nanowire-metallic Yagi-Uda antennas, Nano Lett. 15, 4889 (2015).
- [3] J. Ho, Y. H. Fu, Z. Dong, R. Paniagua-Dominguez, E. H. H. Koay, Y. F. Yu, V. Valuckas, A. I. Kuznetsov, and J. K. W. Yang, Highly directive hybrid metal-dielectric Yagi-Uda nanoantennas, ACS Nano 12, 8616 (2018).
- [4] J. M. Geffrin *et al.*, Magnetic and electric coherence in forward- and back-scattered electromagnetic waves by a single dielectric subwavelength sphere, Nat. Commun. 3, 1171 (2012).
- [5] M. Decker, I. Staude, M. Falkner, J. Dominguez, D. N. Neshev, I. Brener, T. Pertsch, and Y. S. Kivshar, Highefficiency dielectric Huygens' surfaces, Adv. Opt. Mater. 3, 813 (2015).
- [6] A. I. Kuznetsov, A. E. Miroshnichenko, M. L. Brongersma, Y. S. Kivshar, and B. Luk'yanchuk, Optically resonant dielectric nanostructures, Science 354, aag2472 (2016).
- [7] K. Hirose, Y. Liang, Y. Kurosaka, A. Watanabe, T. Sugiyama, and S. Noda, Watt-class high-power, high-beam-quality photonic-crystal lasers, Nat. Photonics 8, 406 (2014).
- [8] P. Qiao, K. Li, K.T. Cook, and C.J. Chang-Hasnain, MEMS-tunable VCSELs using 2D high-contrast gratings, Opt. Lett. 42, 823 (2017).
- [9] T. X. Hoang, S. T. Ha, Z. Pan, W. K. Phua, R. Paniagua-Domínguez, C. E. Png, H.-S. Chu, and A. I. Kuznetsov, Collective Mie resonances for directional on-chip nanolasers, Nano Lett. 20, 5655 (2020).
- [10] C. Dory *et al.*, Inverse-designed diamond photonics, Nat. Commun. **10**, 3309 (2019).
- [11] D. Melati, Y. Grinberg, M. Kamandar Dezfouli, S. Janz, P. Cheben, J. H. Schmid, A. Sánchez-Postigo, and D.-X. Xu, Mapping the global design space of nanophotonic components using machine learning pattern recognition, Nat. Commun. 10, 4775 (2019).
- [12] A. Michaels and E. Yablonovitch, Inverse design of near unity efficiency perfectly vertical grating couplers, Opt. Express 26, 4766 (2018).
- [13] Y. D. Chong, L. Ge, H. Cao, and A. D. Stone, Coherent perfect absorbers: Time-reversed lasers, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 053901 (2010).
- [14] W. Wan, Y. Chong, L. Ge, H. Noh, A. D. Stone, and H. Cao, Time-reversed lasing and interferometric control of absorption, Science 331, 889 (2011).
- [15] D. G. Baranov, A. Krasnok, T. Shegai, A. Alù, and Y. Chong, Coherent perfect absorbers: Linear control of light with light, Nat. Rev. Mater. 2 (2017).
- [16] H. Zhang, M. Kang, X. Zhang, W. Guo, C. Lv, Y. Li, W. Zhang, and J. Han, Coherent control of optical spin-toorbital angular momentum conversion in metasurface, Adv. Mater. 29, 1604252 (2017).
- [17] M. Kang, Z. Zhang, T. Wu, X. Zhang, Q. Xu, A. Krasnok, J. Han, and A. Alù, Coherent full polarization control based on bound states in the continuum, Nat. Commun. 13, 4536 (2022).

- [18] J. Zhang, K. F. MacDonald, and N. I. Zheludev, Controlling light-with-light without nonlinearity, Light Sci. Appl. 1, e18 (2012).
- [19] X. Fang, K. F. MacDonald, and N. I. Zheludev, Controlling light with light using coherent metadevices: All-optical transistor, summator and invertor, Light Sci. Appl. 4, e292 (2015).
- [20] H. Zhao, W. S. Fegadolli, J. Yu, Z. Zhang, L. Ge, A. Scherer, and L. Feng, Metawaveguide for asymmetric interferometric light-light switching, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 193901 (2016).
- [21] C. W. Hsu, B. Zhen, A. D. Stone, J. D. Joannopoulos, and M. Soljačić, Bound states in the continuum, Nat. Rev. Mater. 1, 16048 (2016).
- [22] X. Yin and C. Peng, Manipulating light radiation from a topological perspective, Photonics Res. 8, B25 (2020).
- [23] Q. Jiang, P. Hu, J. Wang, D. Han, and J. Zi, General bound states in the continuum in momentum space, Phys. Rev. Lett. 131, 013801 (2023).
- [24] B. Zhen, C. W. Hsu, L. Lu, A. D. Stone, and M. Soljačić, Topological nature of optical bound states in the continuum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 257401 (2014).
- [25] H. M. Doeleman, F. Monticone, W. den Hollander, A. Alù, and A. F. Koenderink, Experimental observation of a polarization vortex at an optical bound state in the continuum, Nat. Photonics 12, 397 (2018).
- [26] Y. Zhang, A. Chen, W. Liu, C. W. Hsu, B. Wang, F. Guan, X. Liu, L. Shi, L. Lu, and J. Zi, Observation of polarization vortices in momentum space, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 186103 (2018).
- [27] J. Jin, X. Yin, L. Ni, M. Soljačić, B. Zhen, and C. Peng, Topologically enabled ultrahigh-Q guided resonances robust to out-of-plane scattering, Nature (London) 574, 501 (2019).
- [28] M. Kang, S. Zhang, M. Xiao, and H. Xu, Merging bound states in the continuum at off-high symmetry points, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 117402 (2021).
- [29] M. Kang, L. Mao, S. Zhang, M. Xiao, H. Xu, and C. T. Chan, Merging bound states in the continuum by harnessing higher-order topological charges, Light Sci. Appl. 11, 228 (2022).
- [30] W. Wang, Y. K. Srivastava, T. C. Tan, Z. Wang, and R. Singh, Brillouin zone folding driven bound states in the continuum, Nat. Commun. 14, 2811 (2023).
- [31] W. Liu, B. Wang, Y. Zhang, J. Wang, M. Zhao, F. Guan, X. Liu, L. Shi, and J. Zi, Circularly polarized states spawning from bound states in the continuum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 116104 (2019).
- [32] T. Yoda and M. Notomi, Generation and annihilation of topologically protected bound states in the continuum and circularly polarized states by symmetry breaking, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 053902 (2020).
- [33] H. Qin, Z. Su, M. Liu, Y. Zeng, M.-C. Tang, M. Li, Y. Shi, W. Huang, C.-W. Qiu, and Q. Song, Arbitrarily polarized bound states in the continuum with twisted photonic crystal slabs, Light Sci. Appl. 12, 66 (2023).
- [34] A. Kodigala, T. Lepetit, Q. Gu, B. Bahari, Y. Fainman, and B. Kanté, Lasing action from photonic bound states in continuum, Nature (London) 541, 196 (2017).

- [35] C. Huang *et al.*, Ultrafast control of vortex microlasers, Science **367**, 1018 (2020).
- [36] X. Zhang, Y. Liu, J. Han, Y. Kivshar, and Q. Song, Chiral emission from resonant metasurfaces, Science 377, 1215 (2022).
- [37] Y.-G. Sang, J.-Y. Lu, Y.-H. Ouyang, H.-Y. Luan, J.-H. Wu, J.-Y. Li, and R.-M. Ma, Topological polarization singular lasing with highly efficient radiation channel, Nat. Commun. 13, 6485 (2022).
- [38] Y. Chen *et al.*, Observation of intrinsic chiral bound states in the continuum, Nature (London) **613**, 474 (2023).
- [39] M. V. Gorkunov, A. A. Antonov, and Y. S. Kivshar, Metasurfaces with maximum chirality empowered by bound states in the continuum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 093903 (2020).
- [40] T. Shi *et al.*, Planar chiral metasurfaces with maximal and tunable chiroptical response driven by bound states in the continuum, Nat. Commun. **13**, 4111 (2022).
- [41] A. C. Overvig, S. C. Malek, and N. Yu, Multifunctional nonlocal metasurfaces, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 017402 (2020).
- [42] A. Overvig, N. Yu, and A. Alù, Chiral quasi-bound states in the continuum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 073001 (2021).
- [43] B. Wang, W. Liu, M. Zhao, J. Wang, Y. Zhang, A. Chen, F. Guan, X. Liu, L. Shi, and J. Zi, Generating optical vortex beams by momentum-space polarization vortices centred at bound states in the continuum, Nat. Photonics 14, 623 (2020).
- [44] X. Yin, J. Jin, M. Soljačić, C. Peng, and B. Zhen, Observation of topologically enabled unidirectional guided resonances, Nature (London) 580, 467 (2020).
- [45] Y. Zeng, G. Hu, K. Liu, Z. Tang, and C.-W. Qiu, Dynamics of topological polarization singularity in momentum space, Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 176101 (2021).
- [46] X. Yin, T. Inoue, C. Peng, and S. Noda, Topological unidirectional guided resonances emerged from interband coupling, Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 056401 (2023).
- [47] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.132.113801 for illustration of more details regarding pseudopolarization in synthetic parameter space and the condition of coherent perfect absorption.
- [48] S. Fan and J. D. Joannopoulos, Analysis of guided resonances in photonic crystal slabs, Phys. Rev. B 65, 235112 (2002).
- [49] S. Fan, W. Suh, and J. D. Joannopoulos, Temporal coupledmode theory for the fano resonance in optical resonators, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 20, 569 (2003).
- [50] H. Zhou, B. Zhen, C. W. Hsu, O. D. Miller, S. G. Johnson, J. D. Joannopoulos, and M. Soljačić, Perfect single-sided radiation and absorption without mirrors, Optica 3, 1079 (2016).
- [51] E. D. Palik, in *Handbook of Optical Constants of Solids*, edited by E. D. Palik (Academic Press, Burlington, 1997).
- [52] H. He, C. Qiu, L. Ye, X. Cai, X. Fan, M. Ke, F. Zhang, and Z. Liu, Topological negative refraction of surface acoustic waves in a Weyl phononic crystal, Nature (London) 560, 61 (2018).

- [53] G. Trainiti, Y. Ra'di, M. Ruzzene, and A. Alù, Coherent virtual absorption of elastodynamic waves, Sci. Adv. 5, eaaw3255 (2019).
- [54] L. Jiang, T. Yin, A. M. Dubrovkin, Z. Dong, Y. Chen, W. Chen, J. K. W. Yang, and Z. Shen, In-plane coherent control

of plasmon resonances for plasmonic switching and encoding, Light Sci. Appl. 8, 21 (2019).

[55] V. Ardizzone *et al.*, Polariton Bose-Einstein condensate from a bound state in the continuum, Nature (London) **605**, 447 (2022).